
I really enjoy the NBA and wanted to explore how teams over or underperform based on their record at the end of the season and then use this sort of data to predict playoff/champion teams in the 2020-2021 season. To do this, I web scraped data from basketball-reference.com using Python from 1972 to 2019, which resulted in a dataframe with the following data:

	Team	W	L	W/L%	GB	PS/G	PA/G	SRS	Year	Playoff	Diff	Champions
0	Cleveland Cavaliers*	57	25	0.695	_	104.3	98.3	5.45	2016	1	6.0	1
1	Toronto Raptors*	56	26	0.683	1.0	102.7	98.2	4.08	2016	1	4.5	0
2	Miami Heat*	48	34	0.585	9.0	100.0	98.4	1.50	2016	1	1.6	0
3	Atlanta Hawks*	48	34	0.585	9.0	102.8	99.2	3.49	2016	1	3.6	0
4	Boston Celtics*	48	34	0.585	9.0	105.7	102.5	2.84	2016	1	3.2	0
11	Portland Trail Blazers	41	41	0.500	15.0	90.7	92.0	-0.58	2004	0	-1.3	0
12	Golden State Warriors	37	45	0.451	19.0	93.3	94.0	-0.07	2004	0	-0.7	0
13	Seattle SuperSonics	37	45	0.451	19.0	97.1	97.8	0.02	2004	0	-0.7	0
14	Phoenix Suns	29	53	0.354	27.0	94.2	97.9	-2.94	2004	0	-3.7	0
15	Los Angeles Clippers	28	54	0.341	28.0	94.8	99.4	-3.74	2004	0	-4.6	0

1257 rows x 12 columns

A lot of useful data here, including points scores per game (ps/g), points allowed per game (pa/g), the difference between those two (Diff), and whether that team won the championship (Champions) or went to the playoffs (Playoff). I wanted to focus on two variables: Diff and the win/less percentage (W/L%). Why? Those seem like good predictors of performance. If you score more than your opponent often, your diff will be high and your subsequence W/L would be high. Let's look at some jointplots of these variables along with grouping by Champions/Playoffs:



About what you would expect: champions and those that made the playoff are further to the top right. But it looks like my predictors could be simplified quite a bit. I used principle component analysis (PCA) to reduce my two dependent variables to just be one:


```
[9] variables = ['W/L%','Diff']
   X = total_data[variables]
   y = total_data['Playoff']

[10] scaler = StandardScaler()
   X_array = scaler.fit_transform(X)
   X2 = pd.DataFrame(X_array,columns=X.columns)


   pca = PCA()
   x_pca = pca.fit_transform(X2)

[ ] pca.explained_variance_ratio_
   array([0.98410355, 0.01589645])
```


The first component explains much of the variance in the two variables. We can then look at our single component as a predictor of champion/playoff



Looks pretty good. I can then use this data to create a criterion for determining how likely a team will be a champion or playoff. I did this by looking at ROC and finding the maximum difference between the true positive rate (tpr) and false positive rate (fpr):



This creates criterions of 1.04 for champions and -0.06 for playoffs. Let's now get the boxscores for teams in the 2020-2021 season, calculate Diff and W/L% game-by-game, then pass those values into the PCA to see which teams are crossing these criterions:



Now, this is only scores of games up to the April 11th. Here is a table with the current sorted end points (green highlighted teams are the teams to keep an eye on):

	Team	Value
28	Utah_Jazz	2.692488
23	Phoenix_Suns	2.061225
12	Los_Angeles_Clippers	1.835369
22	Philadelphia_76ers	1.630182
7	Denver_Nuggets	1.571927
2	Brookyln_Nets	1.498543
16	Milwaukee_Bucks	1.398780
13	Los_Angeles_Lakers	1.261276
6	Dallas_Mavericks	0.650733
24	Portland_Trial_Blazers	0.444576
0	Atlanta_Hawks	0.415335
1	Boston_Celtics	0.306993
14	Memphis_Grizzles	0.297575
19	New_York_Knicks	0.090415
3	Charlotte_Hornets	0.024270
15	Miami_Heat	-0.029923
11	Indiana_Pacers	-0.191902
18	New_Orleans_Pelicans	-0.346400
26	San_Antonio_Spurs	-0.356922

27	Toronto_Raptors	-0.398639
9	Golden_State_Warriors	-0.406991
4	Chicago_Bulls	-0.465724
25	Sacramento_Kings	-0.834190
29	Washington_Wizards	-1.461933
8	Detroit_Pistons	-1.493230
5	Cleveland_Cavaliers	-1.780959
20	Oklahoma_City_Thunder	-1.881871
21	Orlando_Magic	-1.912363
10	Houston_Rockets	-2.107755
17	Minnesota_Timberwolves	-2.344810

The next thing to do with this data, other than track 2020-2021 season, is to see which teams most over and under performed between 1972-2019. Based on the residuals of the Diff and W/L% from the scatterplots above, see below for the under and over achievers:

	Team	W	L	W/L%	GB	PS/G	PA/G	SRS	Year	Diff	Cham	npions	Residuals2	P-W/L%	P-W
12	Dallas Mavericks	24	58	0.293	41.0	102.3	105.4	-2.70	2018	-3.1		0	-0.107036	0.400036	32.802922
3	Philadelphia 76ers*	35	31	0.530	4.0	93.6	89.4	3.59	2012	4.2		0	-0.105348	0.635348	41.932990
8	Houston Rockets	32	50	0.390	15.0	107.4	107.6	-0.34	1974	-0.2		0	-0.103516	0.493516	40.468314
4	Chicago Bulls	24	58	0.293	14.0	95.9	98.8	-2.89	1976	-2.9		0	-0.113483	0.406483	33.331570
12	Phoenix Suns	34	48	0.415	19.0	104.9	104.2	0.64	1977	0.7		0	-0.107527	0.522527	42.847229
3	Milwaukee Bucks	38	44	0.463	10.0	114.1	111.8	2.12	1979	2.3		0	-0.111103	0.574103	47.076410
12	Seattle SuperSonics	21	E1	0.270	21.0	104.4	104 5	0.47	1006	-0.1		0	-0.119720	0.406730	40.732638
	al_data[total_data											U	-0.110739	0.430733	40.702000
	·	ι[' R	tesio] > (996]#	over •	achiev	/ers	iff c		s Residual		
	al_data[total_data	u['F	kesio W	duals2 L W/L	'] > (% G).09600 B PS/	996]#	t over	achiev	vers	iff C	Champion	s Residual		L%
tota	al_data[total_data Tea	n['F	w W	duals2 L W/L	'] > (% G 2 18.).09600 B PS/	996]# G PA/0	t over	achie	vers ar Di		Champion	s Residual	.s2 P-W/	'L% :
tota	al_data[total_data Teal Golden State Warriors	m. * 5	W 51 3	duals2 L W/L 31 0.62	'] > (' % G 2 18.	0.09600 B PS/0	9996] # G PA/0 2 107.4 5 106.8	f over G S 4 0. 5 -1.	achiev	vers ar Di 172	8.0	Champion	s Residual 0 0.0962 0 0.1018	.s2 P-W/	751 43.111 494 35.710
tota 7 2	al_data[total_data Tear Golden State Warriors Boston Celtics	m. * 5 * 4	W 51 3	duals2 L w/L 31 0.62 88 0.53	"] > (% G 2 18. 7 6.	0.09600 B PS/0 0 108.	G PA/ 2 107. 5 106.6 115.6	f over G S 4 0. 5 -1.	achiev RS Ye 92 19 90 19 83 19	7ers ar Di 772 777 -	0.8	Champion	s Residual 0 0.0962 0 0.1018	.s2 P-W/ 249 0.5257 506 0.4354 456 0.2775	'L% : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :
7 2	al_data[total_data Tean Golden State Warriors Boston Celtics Los Angeles Clipper	* 5 3 * 3	W 51 344 332 538 4	duals2 L W/L 31 0.62 88 0.53 60 0.39	'] > (% G 2 18. 7 6. 0 30. 3 13.	0.09600 B PS/0 0 108. 0 104. 0 108. 0 105.	G PA/ 2 107. 5 106.6 115.6	f over g s 4 0. 5 -1. 5 -6. 2 -3.	achieven	7ers 772 777 786 792 792 792 793 794 795	0.8 -2.0 -6.9	Champion	s Residual 0 0.0962 0 0.1018 0 0.1124	.s2 P-W/ 249 0.5257 506 0.4354 456 0.2775 422 0.3645	751 43.111 194 35.710 544 22.758 578 29.895
7 2 11 4	Tean Golden State Warriors Boston Celtics Los Angeles Clipper Miami Heat	* 5 3 3 * 3 5 1	W 51 3 14 3 32 5 38 4 11 7	duals2 L W/L 31 0.62 88 0.53 60 0.39	"] > (% G 2 18. 7 6. 0 30. 3 13.	0.09600 B PS/0 0 108. 0 104. 0 108. 0 105.	G PA/G PA/G 107.5 106.6 115.6 114.6	s over s	achieven	7ers 2772 2777 2886 2992 293 -1	0.8 -2.0 -6.9 -4.2	Champion	s Residual 0 0.0962 0 0.1013 0 0.1124 0 0.0984	P-W/ 249 0.5257 506 0.4354 456 0.2775 422 0.3645 003 0.0095	751 43.111 494 35.710 544 22.758 29.895 0.819

The next step is to go game-by-game and see what happened. Was it luck? Stay tuned!